Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/724,639	SCHUBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>D. S. Nakarani</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Paul D. Strain, Attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:40 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	*
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
e ·		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 12 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Strain agreed to amend claims 1, 3, 12 and 18 to avoid rejection of claims under 35 USC 112. For the details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary		
•		
	·	
DETrakuruni. 3/17/2006		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		